

Wanting Information in CESU's ARR Filing, 2010-11

General

1. It is observed that in billing determinant (format T-2) the average revenue billed for large 11/33 KV domestic consumers is 254.2 P/U where as revenue realized is 229.7 P/U. The reason for low realization for high value consumers may be furnished.
2. When additional consumption in 0-100 Kwh (Domestic slab) due to Kutir Jyoti etc., has been estimated at 147.4 MU only, CESU proposes 5.28 % more losses due to the same. This means for selling 147.4 MU CESU will incur 390.8 MU as distribution loss although rural electrification shall be carried out through HVDS. The reason for over estimation of loss may be furnished.
3. Proposal of putting HT 5 MVA load under non-remunerative scheme shall strain CESU financially. This may be clarified.
4. The proposal for upgrading all the 25, 63 KVA transformers by higher capacity transformers shall affect the HVDS expansion work. The reason for the same may be furnished.
5. CESU may furnish month-wise power purchase (MU) and SMD (MVA) upto December, 2009 for the current financial year.
6. The list of existing allied agro-industrial consumers / allied agricultural consumers may be submitted.
7. The list of Industrial consumers who availed 20% off-peak MD benefit and also resorted to overdrawal during peak hours may be submitted. The revenue lost on this account may also be furnished.
8. CESU has not provided documentary evidence in support of distribution loss for FY 2008-09 and for April to September 2009. The same may be furnished.
9. CESU may submit its views on KVah billing instead of KWh billing for consumers who do not pay power factor penalty.
10. Statement of meter rent receipts for all categories of consumers voltage-wise at EHT / HT / LT three phase and LT single phase for FY 2008-09 and upto September, 2009 of the current year may be furnished.

Tariff Formats

11. In T-1 format the consumption of EHT (PII) category has been estimated with a reduction of 13% in FY 2010-11 than that of current year in spite of enhancement of CD. Reasons for the same may please be furnished.

12. In T-1 format, CD for EHT general purpose category has been reduced by 19% for the current so also ensuing years in comparison to last year. Reasons may be submitted.
13. In T-1 format reduction of consumption for Railway Traction category of the order of (-) 13% for the ensuing year may please be explained.
14. In T-1 format, in case of SPP (LT) category there has been reduction in CD and consequential consumption for the ensuing year. The reason may be explained.
15. Reason for reduction of (-) 3 %in HT PWW category consumption for the ensuing year may be furnished.
16. In T-2 Format, (Billing Determinant) there is huge un-metered supply in domestic category. Reasons for the same may be furnished.
17. Reasons for continuance of minimum fixed charge billing in T-3 and T-4 format may be explained.
18. Reasons for not filling up P-8 format for some consumers may be explained.
19. Reasons for incorporating consumption above 50% LF while monthly LF is < 50% in case of certain consumers in P-9 format may be explained.
20. In P-14 format licensee has estimated reduction of loss at 4% in FY 2010-11 whereas in T-1 format the same has been estimated to show increased loss of 5.28% over that of current year. Discrepancies may be explained.
21. CESU should submit entire T-7 and T-8 formats by replacing consumption ratio by load factor both in hard and soft form. This is very very urgent and OERC tariff calculation depends upon the same.

Finance:

22. Audited Accounts for the year 2008-09 is wanting. The same may be submitted.
23. In Format F-2, CESU has projected capital expenditure towards system improvement and special repair for 2009-10 and 2010-11 in which details are not available. Work-wise expenditure of such project may be submitted. Further, source of funding the projects may be mentioned.
24. Data on Form-15 (Subsidy an average cost basis) has not been submitted.
25. In Form F-17, information on collection of revenue during the year has not been segregated to (i) collection of current dues (ii) collection out of arrear. The same may be furnished.
26. Employees Cost
 - (a) Information on reduction of number of employees, induction of new employees and their impact on employees cost is not available. The same may be submitted in the following format.

- i) No. of employees (existing as on dt.01.04.2005).
 - ii) Retired employees (as on dt.01.04.2005).
 - iii) Year-wise induction of new employees & cost involved (2005-06 to 2010-11).
 - iv) Year-wise reduction in number of employees & saving in cost (2005-06 to 2010-11).
 - v) Addition to the no. of retired employees & the cost involved thereon (2005-06 to 2010-11 year wise).
 - vi) No. of employees engaged on Contractual basis & cost involved (2005-06 to 2010-11 year wise).
- (b) The licensee is required to submit the summary of salary statement for last 3 months (September to November, 2009) indicating Basic Pay in revised scale, Grade pay, DA, other allowance such as medical, conveyance, HRA etc.
27. Administration and General Expenses: CESU has projected Rs.99.22 crore towards A&G expenses for 2010-11 as against approved amount of Rs.28.82 crore during 2009-10. CESU may submit item-wise actual expenses incurred towards A&G upto November, 2009 for the FY 2009-10.
28. R&M Expenses: CESU is required to file the actual expenditure towards R&M during FY 2008-09 and during FY 2009-10 (upto November, 2009) as against the amount of Escrow relaxed by GRIDCO towards R&M.
29. Fixed Asset Register compiled upto 31.03.2009 may be submitted.
30. Month-wise cash flow statement considering revenue items only for the year 2008-09 and 2009-10 (Actuals upto November, 2009) may be furnished.
31. CESU has made a forecast of miscellaneous receipt to the tune of Rs.20.27 crore during FY 2010-11. Actual miscellaneous receipt as per cash flow (upto November, 2009) may be furnished.